Missing Data in turbulent flows

Reconstructing turbulent flows from partial measurements and statistics

Bérengère Podvin Yann Fraigneau, Francois Lusseyran, Luc Pastur LIMSI-CNRS, Orsay



Outline

- Turbulence and patterns
- Proper Orthogonal Decomposition
- Application to 3D reconstruction of cavity flow
- Application to channel flow

Turbulence







A few words about turbulence

- 3D, randomness, vorticity, mixing...
- Dissipation weak (large Reynolds and Rayleigh numbers) but crucial
- Large spectrum of spatial and temporal scales
- "Chaotic", "Intermittent" Dynamics
- Presence of organized patterns: coherent structures

Missing data

- Spatio-temporal complexity cannot be entirely captured:
- in experiments:
 - few sensors
 - intruding measures
 - noisy signals
- in numerical simulations:
 - **spatial scale resolution** (both large and small)
 - "short" integration times

The organization of turbulence

- Complex inter-dependence between all scales of the flow
- ➔A structure-based representation of fluid flows
- Spatial patterns: Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (Principal Component Analysis, Karhunen-Loève Decomposition)

Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (POD)



POD: Method of Snapshots

- Sirovich (1987)
- Finite Size of the practical problem: N flow realizations (snapshots)

$$< \underline{u}(\underline{x},t)\underline{u}(\underline{x}',t) >= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \underline{u}(\underline{x},t^n)\underline{u}(\underline{x}',t^n)$$

- If N independent realizations, eigenfunctions can be written in that base $\underline{\phi}^{p}(\underline{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} A_{p}^{n} \underline{u}(\underline{x}, t^{n})$
- Equivalent eigenvalue problem

$$\int \langle \underline{u}(\underline{x},t)\underline{u}(\underline{x}',t) \rangle \underline{\phi}^{p}(\underline{x}')d\underline{x}' = \lambda^{p}\underline{\phi}^{p}(\underline{x}) \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{N}\int \underline{u}(\underline{x},t^{n})\underline{u}(\underline{x},t^{m})d\underline{x}A_{p}^{m} = \lambda^{p}A_{p}^{n}$$

• Determining structure amplitude

$$a^{p}(t^{n}) = \int \underline{u}(\underline{x}, t^{n}) \underline{\phi}^{p}(\underline{x}) d\underline{x} = \int \underline{u}(\underline{x}, t^{n}) \underline{u}(\underline{x}, t^{q}) A_{p}^{q} d\underline{x} = A_{p}^{n}$$

Missing data : Gappy POD (Everson and Sirovich 95)

Consider realization $u(\underline{x}_i, t) = u_i^t \rightarrow h_i^t = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if information accessible} \\ 0 \text{ if missing information} \end{cases}$

- Case 1 : IF Eigenfunctions are fully known (full set of snapshots)

$$u(\underline{x},t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^{n}(t)\phi^{n}(\underline{x})? \quad \text{Estimate } \tilde{a}^{n} \text{ such that } B_{nm}\tilde{a}^{m} = b_{n}$$

$$B_{mn} = \sum_{i} \phi^{m}(\underline{x}_{i})\phi^{n}(\underline{x}_{i})h_{i}^{t}$$

$$b_{n} = \sum_{i} u(\underline{x}_{i},t)\phi^{n}(\underline{x}_{i})h_{i}^{t}$$
- Case 2: IF Eigenfunctions are not fully known (incomplete set of snapshots):
-Solve eigenvalue problems for successive (k) snapshots sets

$$\underline{u}^{(k)}(\underline{x}_{i},t^{n}) = \underline{u}(\underline{x}_{i},t^{n}) \text{ if } h_{i}^{n} = 1$$

$$\underline{u}^{(k)}(\underline{x}_{i},t^{n}) = \underline{u}^{(k-1)}(\underline{x}_{i},t^{n}) \text{ if } h_{i}^{n} = 0$$
where
$$\begin{cases} \underline{u}^{(k-1)}(\underline{x}_{i},t^{n}) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{(k-1)}^{m}(t^{n})\underline{\phi}_{(k-1)}^{m}(\underline{x}_{i}) \text{ if } k > 2 \\ \underline{u}^{(1)}(\underline{x}_{i},t^{n}) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N} \underline{u}(\underline{x}_{i},t^{n})h_{i}^{n} \end{cases} \rightarrow \text{Determine eigenfunctions fully}$$

Extended POD (Borée 2002)

Consider N realizations q(x,t) with dim(q)=r and apply Snapshot (if necessary Gappy) POD to p<r components

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ q_3 \\ \vdots \\ q_p \end{pmatrix} (\underline{x},t) = \sum_{n=1}^N a^n(t) \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^n \\ \phi_2^n \\ \phi_3^n \\ \vdots \\ \phi_p^n \end{pmatrix} (\underline{x}) \text{ with } \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^n \\ \phi_2^n \\ \phi_2^n \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \phi_p^n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^1(t_1) & a^1(t_2) \dots & a^1(t_n) \\ a^2(t_1) & a^2(t_2) \dots & a^2(t_n) \\ a^3(t_1) & a^{31}(t_2) \dots & a^3(t_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a^n(t_1) & a^n(t_2) \dots & a^n(t_n) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_1(\underline{x}\underline{t}_1) \\ q_2(\underline{x}\underline{t}_2) \\ q_3(\underline{x}\underline{t}_3) \\ \vdots \\ q_p(\underline{x}\underline{t}_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

It is possible to define extended structures on the remaining r-p=r' components

using

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{p+11}^{n} \\ \boldsymbol{\phi}_{p+2}^{n} \\ \boldsymbol{\phi}_{p+3}^{n} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\phi}_{r}^{n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{1}(t_{1}) & a^{1}(t_{2}) \dots & a^{1}(t_{n}) \\ a^{2}(t_{1}) & a^{2}(t_{2}) \dots & a^{2}(t_{n}) \\ a^{3}(t_{1}) & a^{31}(t_{2}) \dots & a^{3}(t_{n}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a^{n}(t_{1}) & a^{n}(t_{2}) \dots & a^{n}(t_{n}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_{p+1}(\underline{x}\underline{t}_{1}) \\ q_{p+2}(\underline{x}\underline{t}_{2}) \\ q_{p+3}(\underline{x}\underline{t}_{3}) \\ \vdots \\ q_{r}(\underline{x}\underline{t}_{n}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Applications: - Investigate coupling between different components of the realization (e.g p-components: velocity, r' component: pressure)

- Inverse design (e.g p-component: pressure distribution, r'-

components: geometry)

Reconstruction from partial instantaneous measurements and full statistics

 $\phi(\underline{x})$ known, u(x,t) on H (h) $\subset \Omega \Rightarrow u(x,t)$ on Ω ?

$$u(\underline{x},t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty?} a^n(t)\phi^n(\underline{x}) \Rightarrow \text{ Determine } a^n(t)\forall n$$
$$B_{nm}\tilde{a}^m = b_n \text{ where } \begin{cases} B_{nm} = \sum_i \underline{\phi}^n(\underline{x}_i).\underline{\phi}^m(\underline{x}_i)h_i^t \\ b_n = \sum_i \underline{u}(\underline{x}_i,t).\underline{\phi}^n(\underline{x}_i)h_i^t \end{cases}$$

But...

Can any flow realization be exactly represented in the finite POD basis? What happens if I consider a smaller number of modes? How robust is the estimation procedure?

Application: Flow over cavity

Spatial POD eigenfunctions



Goal: Estimate Full 3D flow from 3D,3C POD basis and 2D,2C plane of measurements

Estimation of POD amplitudes



Application:

Synthetic wall boundary conditions for the simulation of wall turbulence

Context: High Reynolds number calculations require fine resolution next to the wall (wall layer) as the dynamics are dominated by small scales

<u>**Goal</u>**: Simulate turbulence accurately (= get statistics right!) in a restricted domain (H) using a synthetic boundary condition which mimics the top of the wall layer.</u>



Synthetic boundary condition



Feedback estimation (full reconstruction)



Full reconstruction Re=590



Full reconstruction (Re=590)



Feedback estimation (phase reconstruction)



Phase reconstruction Re=590



Phase reconstruction Re=590



Statistics are improved with time-dependent phase reconstruction.

Conclusion

- Missing data in turbulence can be recovered with stochastic tools (POD, Gappy POD)
- 2 examples for turbulent flows:
 - Reconstruction of 3D structures from 2D measurements
 - Efficient Simulation of channel flow
- Mathematical tools need to be tailored to flow physics (effect of small scales in turbulence)

References

- Turbulent and the dynamics of coherent structures, L. Sirovich, Quart. Appl. Math., 1987
- The Karhunen-Loève Procedure for Gappy Data, R. Everson, L. Sirovich, J. Opt Soc. Am A, 1995
- Extended proper orthogonal decomposition: a tool to analyse correlated events in turbulent flows, J. Borée, Experiments in Fluids 2003
- "Aerodynamic Data Reconstruction and Inverse Design Using Proper Orthogonal Decomposition", T. Bui-Thanh, M. Damodaran, and K. E. Willcox, AIAA Journal, Vol. 42, No. 8 (2004), pp. 1505-1516.
- "A reconstruction method for the flow past an open cavity", B. Podvin, Y.
 Fraigneau, F. Lusseyran and P. Gougat, Journal of Fluids Engineering, 2006, 128, 531
- On self-similarity in the inner layer of a turbulent channel flow, B. Podvin, Y. Fraigneau, J.P. Laval, J. Jouanguy, Journal of Fluids Engineering 2010.
- Synthetic wall boundary condition for the direct numerical simulation of wall turbulence, B. Podvin, Y. Fraigneau, Journal of Turbulence 2011, 12(4), 1-26.
- POD-based wall boundary conditions for the numerical simulation of turbulent channel flows, B. Podvin, Y. Fraigneau, Journal of Turbulence 2014, 15, 3, 141-175